

SUTTON POYNTZ NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN STEERING GROUP

RECORD OF SUB-GROUP MEETING

Topic sub-group - Transport

Date of Meeting 2/11/2017 **Time of meeting from** 10.05 **to** 10.55 hours

Location of Meeting - 12 Old Bincombe Lane

Present: S. Elgey, C. Marsh

Apologies: M. Blee

Key Discussion Points

- CM to meet with MB on 3/11/17 to further consider the outcomes from this meeting.
- Actions from previous meeting – bus survey rota had been agreed
- County Councillor Tony Ferrari had been contacted regarding a) Puddledock Lane legal advice and b) views of the Dorset County Council Highways Department on the traffic monitoring data. A response was awaited.
- **Questions for public survey.**

Further to the Steering Group feedback the following questions were proposed for inclusion in the forthcoming public consultation survey.

CONTEXT - Following our earlier survey resident concerns included speeding traffic, on-street parking congestion and maintaining accessible public footpaths and lanes. Notable features of the neighbourhood area as identified in our draft Place Appraisal are the 'green' environment with a lack of excessive street signage and pavements that add to the character of the village.

Do you agree or disagree with the following statements: -

1. Traffic management restrictions (road markings, bollards, signage etc) should be introduced at key hazard points as identified by the red triangles on the map?
(Map locations - Winslow to Verlands Road, Cartshed/bus stop, Sutton Road between Millhouse and Northdown Farm, east end of Mission Hall Lane above the pond, bend on Plaisters Lane below Valley Cottage).
2. Planning permission for any new housing should require the following:-
 - a) A minimum of two allocated off street parking places per home?
 - b) A minimum of one unallocated visitor parking space for every four homes?
 - c) A minimum of one electric vehicle charging point per home?
3. An adequate public car park should be created in the village?
(A suitable location would need to be determined)
4. All new future development should include -
 - a) Pavements

b) Street lighting?

- **Recommendations for inclusion in Section 6 of the Place Appraisal**

Following feedback from the Steering Group a review was carried out of the previous proposal in common with the request to other sub-groups.

Recommendations - CM suggested that the review identify those items which were a basis for actions within the Neighbourhood Plan or were already being actioned and those items that were the basis for questions in the further public consultation survey and would lead to land use and development related policy.

The action points were identified as the retention of a bus service, access along Puddledock Lane, maintenance of public footpaths.

The policy related issues were identified as measures to reduce on-street parking, traffic calming, safe segregation of pedestrians and cyclists from motorised traffic and street lighting.

In relation to the latter points primary **Risks** were degradation of access routes due to increased traffic, increased congestion impacting free movement, vehicle collisions with pedestrians due to absence of segregated walk ways.

Policy **Challenges** were reducing traffic speed without detriment to the character of the area, reducing the dependence upon the private car at a time of public transport cut-backs, reducing traffic congestion and damage to roads, achieving a balance between safely lit public areas and a 'dark skies' environment

Opportunities and options were combined and the key areas to be addressed are - proactively pursue practical solutions to highway access difficulties and traffic speed management, identify both short and longer term solutions to excessive on-street parking, adopt an integrated approach to transport provision, ensure safety is not compromised by a desire to maintain village character e.g. lighting and pavements.

Way Forward – open discussion on transport related issues with providers, users, the pub and other businesses; establish formal arrangements for frequent communication; pursue action points through the involvement of the relevant regulatory bodies and third parties; develop a traffic management policy.

CM to provide a revised draft of the above by 6/11/17 following further discussion with MB on 3/11..

Meeting on 3/11 15.10 to 16.15. Present : Colin Marsh, Mike Blee

1. Questions were agreed without any further changes.
2. The draft section 6 on Transport was thoroughly reviewed and it was agreed to retain the emphasis on action points such as the bus service whilst making the bullet points more concise and grouping them under the headings of Opportunities (Opportunities, and Options), Challenges, Risks and the Way Forward as a means of simplifying the structure.
A number of changes were suggested to shorten the statements and the importance of linking back to questions in the forthcoming survey was identified.

It was agreed to include an explanatory statement in the section on the 'Way Forward' to explain issues to be dealt with as community actions such as the consultation processes and those to be incorporated into policy such as those related to on-street parking congestion.

Actions

To produce a revised copy of the section 6 Place Appraisal summary incorporating the suggestions as agreed at the two meetings and circulate for comment before forwarding to Peter Dye (Place Appraisal sub-group) by 7/11 latest.

Action : CM