

## Stage 2 Survey - Unsolicited comments

These are comments added by respondents to the Survey form in places that did not ask for a comment. They will of course be given the same status as all other comments.

Table 1 – Number of respondents	
Survey No.	[269] [signed off as Dr and Mrs so I have included as a 2] [286] [name of xxxxxx but there is a "cheers x&x" scribble so I have included as 2]
Survey Status	[26] [Have been requested to complete 26 with same views as form 25 "25 and 26 joint"] [43] xxx & xxx xxxxx [49] [Asked that form 49 is duplicated as form 50 as completed by husband and wife. I haven't duplicated the comments] [309] 2 adults and 3 children [395] "xxx and xxx" [467] Please treat as 2 returns [484] "xxx and xxx" [505] Represents views of 3 people [569] [Respondee states it is from him and household but does not specify how many are in his household so I have included just as 1]
Address	[309] Please consider this survey represents 5 members of the household - 2 adults and 3 children

Table 2 – General comments	
Survey Status	<p>[74] Dear Katrina</p> <p>I have completed the stage two survey and housing needs survey to the best of my ability. Thank you to Mike for letting me have a draft place appraisal for perusal.</p> <p>Congratulations to all the volunteers who must have spent many hours on getting to this stage of the plan. It must have been a mammoth task - it wore me out just answering the questions.</p> <p>The only question I have is as follows:</p> <p>What percentage of the current village population have to agree to the finished plan before it gets accepted and put to the council? I feel this is very important as there seems to be a lot of diverse opinions on many topics by people in the village.</p> <p>I regret my wife is not in a position to complete the survey as she has had a nasty fall onto the hard pavements prior to Christmas and is suffering as a result. Her face is very coloured and puffy and she has a lot of pain about her body but as far as we know she has not broken anything which is good news</p> <p>Anyway best wishes for 2018</p>

Table 2 – General comments	
	Yours sincerely xxx
Q1 Flood Risk	[218] Major comment on questionnaire- No possibility of being 'neutral'. Have to 'agree' or 'disagree'. Survey should have had a 'neither agree nor disagree' choice/option
Address	[36] What dreadful paper
	[467] We are still very new to the area and are still familiarising ourselves so at this stage have not made any comments.

Table 3 – Biodiversity & the Natural Environment	
Q1 Flood Risk	[57] This question suggests there should be develop
	[200] It would have been useful if this survey had included a map showing the location of flood risk areas Particularly as this may influence the choice of development sites.
	[372] This question is not necessary as modern housing strategy requires a drainage strategy. [602] Vacuous question required by modern building practices
	[590] Could manage existing systems better, i.e. blocked drains, gullies on roadside etc.
Q2 Bio Green Corridor	[220] Excellent idea
Q3 Enhancement of Biodiversity	[49] Very leading question
	[181] No views on this
	[213] How can you guarantee enforcement?
	[325] wording as follows underlined: "helps to reverse the decline of threatened species and reintroduce those that are already lost to the local area". Comment added "Very bold statement. Where is the proof?" [326] Where is the proof that we have lost and are losing threatened species. What would be introduced?
	[372] Not required in this area
	[484] with the proviso that this "policy" does not allow access by any (or all) unauthorised people or with the use of that area by the owner
Q4 List of Green Spaces	[213] How would they be protected?
	[372] Not without the agreement of landowners
	[601] Absolutely not
Q5 List of Key Views	[63] I don't think that the views 'belong' or can be protected by the local community.
	[74] I understood that no-one has a right to a view unless they own it. If that is untrue why have my neighbours at Meadowview been allowed to erect a 6 foot solid wood fence on agricultural land which restricts my view down to Sutton Close and beyond. [484] This is a lovely idea but from feedback from planning officers I have dealt with, views are not taken into consideration by planners. Perhaps it has some value in the design of any new building.
	[181] There should be a general approach rather than specific views. Over fussy.

Table 3 – Biodiversity & the Natural Environment	
	[213] Too late for some!
	[413] This seems to me to be wide ranging - what are the implications?
Q6a Dev & retaining trees	[49] Very leading questions / statements.
	[49] By whom? [418] Assessed by whom ?
	[57] No further development. I can't answer this question Comment: it is presumptive
	[484] applies to all q6 - again, from previous experience "reduced light or visibility" is not taken into consideration, but if it is felt this could be changed, all to the good
	[564] Assessed by a professional. Too divisive for steering group to decide
	[590] although there are always exceptions and compromises
	[602] We can manage our own gardens without nosey neighbourhood interference
Q6b Tree replacement	[46] This does not happen now.
	[508] neutral
	[590] Who determines local species? May not be appropriate and not affordable for some people.
	[602] We can manage our own gardens without nosey neighbourhood interference
Q6c Consultation on tree applications	[74] I thought this was already in force.
	[181] Difficult to implement
	[187] There are areas where the trees are inappropriate for their siting; should be able to remove and replace with trees size appropriate.
	[372] This should apply to trees on public land. Private gardens are the business of residents and the planners.
	[556] adequately dealt with by WBPC
	[590] This should include the planting of new trees to ensure [?consideration] of full growth. Should have a programme for restoring and laying old hedgerows. Hedges should not be cut between March and September - to protect wildlife, except for road safety reasons. This is why there is a decline in garden birds.
	[601] This implies residents are unable to appreciate the beauty and need of trees etc. on their property.
	[602] We can manage our own gardens without nosey neighbourhood interference

Table 4 – Employment, Business, Tourism & IT Communications	
Q7a Shop	[33] Nice idea but it doesn't seem a viable proposition particularly in the winter months [49] If viable! Probably impractical – nice idea.
	[74] Not considered viable
	[138] I don't think it would be viable
	[335] Not viable. Arts and Crafts maybe.
	[406] no strong opinion. Question of economics and not sure it would be a viable option

Table 4 – Employment, Business, Tourism & IT Communications	
	[418] Do not feel a shop is viable
	[539] This village could not provide enough business to sustain a village shop
	[569] A good idea, but is it commercially viable?
	[63] The Spar and Co-op are both excellent 'friendly' local shops.
	[63] A new shop may cause congestion and parking issues in a village which is difficult enough to access and no pavements.
	[252] not particularly [508], [509] neutral
	[311] Use the pub
	[313] A typical village store only
	[547] [there is a tick between the A and D boxes but not in them so I have ignored.]
	[556] Tried before and failed - 1/2 mile acceptable.
	[590] Half a mile from a shop is very good and is consistent with most villages. I would like a shop but the reality is it would not be commercially viable on its own. The best rural model is combining with a pub (The White Horse at Stourpaine is excellent model, post office as well). A stand alone shop would not survive - 2 shops within walking distance, on line shopping, high car ownership in SP, supermarkets within 4-5 miles, milk and bread delivered.
Q7b General store items	[41] If we have one
	[509] [Tick for each of these is on the line between A and D]
	[535] not an unbranded co-op
Q7b Fruit & veg	[509] [Tick for each of these is on the line between A and D]
	[535] Local green grocers
	[590] meat and game
Q7b Arts & crafts	[509] [Tick for each of these is on the line between A and D]
Q7b Tea/coffee shop	[41] We have the pub
	[484] We feel that such a shop would only be used as a "stop gap" and would find it difficult to survive
	[509] [Tick for each of these is on the line between A and D]
Q7b	[49] Any of above if viable.
Q7c Volunteer hours	[49] Maybe when we are retired [454] As working full time, but may do so when retire. Also look after aged parents. [508] But happy to work there once retired!
	[74] Medically unfit to do so. [460] Aged 86 !
	[79] I am at university otherwise I would volunteer
Q8a New business vs traffic	[41] Would local businesses bring financial gain to the village? 'No' only to Weymouth council in tax benefits. It would be different if we had to produce a budget to run the village.
	[200] This is an unrealistic expectation. Apart from people running Internet based businesses from their homes where would such businesses be located, maybe a village blacksmith or two or gardening care/maintenance business but - 'business Park' developments are not relevant for Sutton Poyntz.

Table 4 – Employment, Business, Tourism & IT Communications	
	[293] In addition to suggested venues for shops or businesses a Fire Service vehicle would not be able to pass the pond at the Springhead to serve this part of Sutton Poyntz owing to parking along the pond edge!
	[535] Field adjacent to Springhead was excellent car park. Freed up much needed space for local parking
	[539] Business! Where and what?
	[590] It does not follow that if people work in the village they live in the village or vice versa.
Q8b Office space in homes	[200] Could be provided by developer and new homes.
	[409] Here I would also include playgroups, hairdressing, doctor/dentist...
Q8b Light industrial	[95] I agree to light industrial and storage and distribution – dependent on type of business and its location that would need to harmonise and blend into the existing activities of the village. [96] ?Type of business [508] Would depend on size and character
	[590] Difficult due to space
Q8b Storage & distribution	[95] The Johnsons:
	[96] ?Height and style of units [508] Would depend on size and character
	[187] No room
	[590] Poor access
Q8b	[413] General comment on 8 ?????? Yes, Light Industry No
Q9a Mobile phone reception	[47], [48] [Word “Excellent” crossed out and replaced by “Good”]  [64] [Added and ticked new box labelled “Good”]
	[414] Vodafone [559] O2
	[589] These answers depend on household's suppliers and type of connection purchased
Q9b Speed of internet	[535] Other nearby homes experience faster so can be improved
Q10a Tourism vs additional traffic	[49] We have plenty of visitors
	[155] Neutral [508], [509] Neutral
	[553] No No No
	[569] Only seasonal anyway
Q10b B&Bs	[136] B&Bs [245] B&B not hotels [373] More B&Bs, but not more hotels [406] B&Bs but not hotels [411] not hotels [445] B&b yes but hotels no [454] B&B yes, hotels no [569] Respondent crossed out hotels
	[157] D NOT
	[289] not in Sutton Poyntz
	[395] [ticked on the line therefore have halved to 1 each]

Table 4 – Employment, Business, Tourism & IT Communications	
	[508] Neutral
	[590] Springhead could develop as hotel with accommodation.
Q10b Holiday lets	[96] Within reasonable numbers
	[157] Definitely not
	[187] Imply empty properties goes against need for Comment: affordable housing
	[508] Neutral
Q10b Camping	[28] Tents only
	[157] Definitely not
	[590] Pop up campsites work very well (not permanent). Not sure if we want more of them.
Q10b Tours	[46] [The form was completed with an agree and strongly disagree in the 'more campsites' line with the tours line blank. I have presumed that the agreement was for 'community-led tours' and the strongly disagree meant for the campsites, looking at the opinions in the other boxes. Can I leave this with you? Thanks.]
	[395] Guided walks / History
Q10b Other	[155] The pub already produces large amounts of traffic (no problem with this) Extra tourists would not benefit us as only the pub benefits

Table 5 – Getting around	
Q11	[62] Provide 1. A traffic calming scheme. 2. Passing places at far end of Plaisters Lane. 3. Passing places along Sutton Road marked by double yellow lines.
Q11a Winslow	[49] Aren't the concerns about traffic speed unfounded?! Question is misleading.
	[335] Restrict maximum speed throughout village to 20 mph.
Q11a Cartshed	[74] Stop vehicles parking on the bus stop
Q11a Mill House	[200] But extend back for junction with Plaisters Lane e.g. a 20mph zone
	[535] Speed bump between Northdown and Springhead would have excellent impact with very little visual impact.
	[538] The area alongside the pond is waiting for an accident to happen with children playing in the pond and running between parked cars.
Q11a Plaisters Lane	[33] Sign and 20mph speed limit
	[174] Road Markings
	[409] Mirrors?
	[560] Mirror only
	[589] maybe
Q11a	[208] Speed bumps? [537] Speed bumps [538] Speed bumps less intrusive and could be the answer; it is the only thing that forces drivers to slow down.
	[508] Neutral
	[569] makes the area safer
	[590] What about reducing speed limit. Maintaining footpaths is an issue.
Q11	[375] I believe the signage and road markings we have should be reduced to further enhance the village not added to

Table 5 – Getting around	
Q11b Car park	[57] Presumptive question and no room given for comment but I will anyway
	[289] where
	[307] [no option selected] Only if a village shop is introduced. This should then have parking spaces for the public to use
Q11d Pavements	[18] Future developments should be considered on a case by case basis. I neither agree nor disagree – same on all other unanswered questions. [41] I am impartial. It depends on each individual development. [535] Location depending
	[57] Presumptive question.
	[170] There would be no need for pavements if the speed limit was reduced.
	[200] Not feasible as roads already quite narrow.
	[217] but has to be in keeping with the village
	[372] Business of planning committee [556] Let planning committee decide. They are the elected body
	[508] Neutral [602] Neither disagree or agree
Q11d Street lighting	[57] Light pollution
	[217] but has to be in keeping with the village
	[245] If new developments as elderly would downsize & lighting in that area would be a good idea but not just everywhere
	[286] provided of suitable intensity and energy efficiency
	[330] Request the police to catch people speeding
	[372] Business of planning committee
	[508] Neutral
Q12a Reduced congestion vs house price	[429] congestion
Q12 i 2 parking spaces	[18] Does this include a garage?
	[49] impractical
	[286] one [589] Why is one not an opinion - 1 parking space!
	[372] Planning Committee! [601] This is what the planning office do as their job. Not entertainment! [602] For local authority planning
	[406] 1 place for smaller homes and 2 places for larger homes
Q12 ii 1 visitor space	[372] Planning Committee! [601] This is what the planning office do as their job. Not entertainment!
Q12 iii Electric charger	[28] Great idea and ahead of its time, but expensive if no subsidy
	[67] [Created and ticked a box labelled “Neither”]
	[372] Planning Committee! [601] This is what the planning office do as their job. Not entertainment!
	[535] All for electric charging but not until it is more easily established across country
	[602] Nonsense!
Q12	[250] For question 12, use the County guidelines but make them compulsory.

Table 6 – Heritage	
Q13 Heritage asset list	<p>[48] [Please note responder entered 2 answers to this question]            Agree/disagree            Who drew up this list?            If such a list is drawn then the owners of these properties should have been spoken to.            As my property is on the list [Springfield] why then is Fox cottage that is attached to us not on the list?            Also no mention of other buildings I would class as heritage i.e. Northdown farm and barn, The Mill House Hunts Cottage Sutton House The Old Dairy, I could go on.            I also feel modern contemporary and innovative architecture should be embraced as many heritage building have done all over the country and feel both can live in Harmony with each other if done sympathetically.            The list this list is random and needs to be reviewed            [409] Which is the "we" that have looked at potential assets?            Also states "need transparency here"</p>
	[49] Have the owners of these buildings been consulted and agreed
	[74] Do you have the authority to do this? If the houses are so important now – why have they not been listed. I feel very sorry for the owners of these properties [with this proposal].
	[116] Conservation area sufficient
	[335] I would have hoped that having the status of being a Designated Conservation Area with some listed building status properties and the village being encompassed by AONB countryside would be protection enough. Is this not sufficient to protect the character of our village without having to resort to another layer of bureaucracy? However I will be guided by the steering group on the basis that the professional advice received will strengthen our case for the recognition we are seeking.
	[372] This is part of the listed property system maintained by the planning authority.
	[571] We cannot identify people's homes as "village assets", as this may restrict home improvements.
	[590] I would think that the listing building process is adequate

Table 7 – Housing & Planning	
Q14 Number of new homes	<p>[18] Depends upon siting density and need.            [390] not certain - depending on size and location</p>
	<p>[57] NONE            [505] Why not? [to not stopping all development]</p>
	[132] Need to improve visibilty past parked cars on Sutton Road between Verlands and Winslow Road before increasing traffic into village. Diagram of reprofiled bank
	[155] Do not know all applications considered on merit as foregoing considerations
	[207] Maybe
	[260] where??
	[269] define "homes"



Table 7 – Housing & Planning	
	[539] Does this include re-development?
	[569] Don't know
Q15a Redraw development boundary	[35] See rationale in comments
	[87] ? [181] Very difficult to reply
	[286] Development boundary should definitively prohibit new building on green field/agricultural land
	[535] However, recent Plaisters Lane site I think was suitable for development
	[589] maybe? Possibly needs more discussion
	[590] This is tricky - I think if you don't manage the development boundary Sutton Poyntz will look like Preston in 20 years.
Q15b Only within existing development boundary	[87] ?
	[590] I am assuming that there are still opportunities for development within existing boundary
Q15c Demolish for higher density	[95] Dependent on density
	[187] ?
	[207] Depends where of course
	[301] Cannot decide without info. [No option selected]
	[538] Subject to design
	[590] Possibly - if done properly
Q15d Build in gardens	[187] ?
	[301] Wording changed to "New house building could be allowed in the gardens of some existing homes."
	[372] Neither agree or disagree
Q16 Affordable housing outside boundary	[18] Most developers offer low cost housing and rescind it after planning permission is granted.
	[33] Don't think the infrastructure would support this.
	[35] See comment below Qn 15
	[49] Either you have a boundary or you don't. [286] Build within development boundary refer Q15 for comments [590] work within existing boundary
	[207] No Benefit people earned to live here why enjoy it on us
	[213] Depends what you call affordable
	[246] The .....(can't read is outside the boundary word)
	[307] Sentence extended with "if it was deemed necessary for the specific area"
	[325] 100% affordable housing has to be a mix of local and external. You have to have a balance not 100%. [326] Note: Allocation should be a balance of local and external people, also doesn't need to be 100% affordable. [550] Note: allocation should be a balance of local and external people, also does not need to be 100% affordable.

Table 7 – Housing & Planning	
	[335] The danger with this is that it will create a precedent and open the gates to other developments outside the existing boundary. However I would support a suitable scheme within the existing development boundary.
	[366] No box ticked but comment "dependent on location" added.
	[390] each individual request needs to be considered
	[405] small development
	[409] a site implies large-scale development. A few would be OK.
	[484] Providing these are genuine 100% affordable housing and preferably for "local" people.
	[488] Attracts the wrong demographic or all social housing
	[505] No developer would build this as it wouldn't be economically viable for them!
	[538] Unless solely purchasing; not socially rented
	[539] Define "local" people
Q17a Take greater account of nearby design	[63] Why should it?
	[116] But doesn't conservation area do this anyway?
	[213] Can't answer these questions - all a matter of taste - some modern designs are very attractive others are not
	[333] depending on the design of the nearby buildings
	[395] Excluding village centre
	[488] but many ugly properties in some areas.
	[535] For frontage but not rear of a property
	[601] Unable to tick box, Not applicable
	[602] Neither disagree or agree
	[603] Silly question. Too many different styles of buildings exist already
Q17b Reflect historic core style	[213] Can't answer these questions - all a matter of taste - some modern designs are very attractive others are not
	[372] Depends where in the village - No modern houses should be built with low ceilings and dark rooms as the original 5 cottages.
	[395] excluding village centre
	[601] The building in this village is a mish mash
	[602] Neither disagree or agree
	[603] Silly question. Too many different styles of buildings exist already
Q17c Encourage innovative design	[63] They should be encouraged in all areas
	[95] To a limited degree but still reflecting the building styles and materials of surrounding properties.
	[96] Would need careful monitoring
	[213] Can't answer these questions - all a matter of taste - some modern designs are very attractive others are not
	[286] In all areas of the village
	[590] should be encouraged in all areas
	[603] Note, respondee crossed out "in area other than the historic core"
	[601] Too late
Q17d Outside historic core	[213] Can't answer these questions - all a matter of taste - some modern designs are very attractive others are not

Table 7 – Housing & Planning	
	[245] Innovation can be done sympathetically & using local materials ie, portland/purbeck stone & reclaimed tiles etc.
	[488] it is possible to build contemporary modern properties that fit in the village scene compared to some properties such as those like fourgals or the bungalows on Sutton Road.
	[590] New innovative design can sit alongside and compliment old building - it is the quality of design and materials that is important. Innovation in design and materials should be encouraged.
	[603] A bit late for that.

Table 8 – Sports & Recreation	
Q18 The pond	[49] Have the owners of these buildings been consulted and agreed. [87] ?
Q18 Mission Hall	[200] See below
Q18 Veterans Wood	[399] Strongly disagree with these being held on a register with a six month window to bid.
Q19a Village Green	[152] Where? [187] Field next to Springhead. [569] Don't need, we have a pond instead [590] There is a village green listed at G8
Q19a Shop	[147] ? [569] See previous comments [589] Use Springhead site if needed. Not an additional facility. [590] see earlier comments
Q19a Larger hall	[286] Mission Hall [366] [? entered in agree box] [569] Is this required? [589] Why is the hall too small? I haven't seen it used a lot in 20 years.
Q19a Play area	[48] There is already a children's play are at The Springhead and is used by locals to my knowledge. [187] Springhead [286] pub [535] Pub? [537] The Springhead already has one [538] The Springhead is available for use as a play area [589] Use Springhead site [569] Are there enough local kids to warrant?
Q19a Sports field	[187] Field next to Springhead [589] There's one in Preston - 10 mins walk away
Q19a Allotments	[335] We used to have them but they have been built on ! [395] Is there a demand for allotments? If so, agree.
Q19b Location for green	[589] As above - greater use of the pub site; car parking in the field beside, no need to develop another or new car park. Also the water works and mission hall - 7 days a week!

Table 9 – Place Appraisal	
Section 1	[82] An excellent document

Table 9 – Place Appraisal

	[181] This has been a very difficult survey to complete. Change will happen. Major purpose should be to maintain SP as a separate village from preston
--	--